arXiv:1510.01845v2 [math.AC] 7 Apr 2016

# CHARACTERIZING LOCAL RINGS VIA PERFECT AND COPERFECT MODULES

MOHAMMAD RAHMANI AND ABDOLJAVAD TAHERIZADEH

Abstract. Let $R$ be a Noetherian ring and let $C$ be a semidualizing $R$-module. In this paper, by using the classes $\mathcal{P}_C$ and $\mathcal{I}_C$, we extend the notions of perfect and coperfect modules introduced by D.Rees [14] and O.Jenda [12]. First, we study the basic properties of these modules and relations between them. Next, we characterize local rings in terms of the existence of special perfect (resp. coperfect) modules.

## 1. introduction

Throughout this paper, $R$ is a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity. Recall that the grade of $M$, defined by D. Rees [14], is the least integer $i \geq 0$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,R) \neq 0$. He showed that if $M$ is finitely generated, then $\operatorname{grade}_R(M)$ is the length of a maximal $R$-sequence contained in $\operatorname{Ann}_R(M)$. An $R$-module $M$ is called perfect (resp. $n$-perfect) if one has $\operatorname{grade}_R(M) = \operatorname{pd}_R(M)$ (resp. $\operatorname{grade}_R(M) = n = \operatorname{pd}_R(M)$) where $n$ is a non-negative integer. He, also, showed that $\operatorname{grade}_R(M)$ is the least integer $i \geq 0$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,P) \neq 0$ for some projective $R$-module $P$. As a dual notion of grade, O. Jenda [12] introduced an invariant, namely $E$-dimension. For an $R$-module $M$, $E$-dimension of $M$, denoted by $E\text{-}\dim_R(M)$, is the least integer $i \geq 0$ such that $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(I,M) \neq 0$ for some injective $R$-module $I$. Also, $M$ is called a coperfect (resp. $n$-coperfect) $R$-module if one has $E\text{-}\dim_R(M) = \operatorname{id}_R(M)$ (resp. $E\text{-}\dim_R(M) = n = \operatorname{id}_R(M)$). A finitely generated $R$-module $C$ is semidualizing if the natural homothety map $R \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(C,C)$ is an isomorphism and $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C,C) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Semidualizing modules have been studied by Foxby [6], Vasconcelos [17] and Golod [8]. For a semidualizing $R$-module $C$, the class of $C$-projectives (resp. $C$-injectives), denoted $\mathcal{P}_C$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}_C$), consists of those $R$-modules of the form $C \otimes_R P$ (resp. $\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,I)$) for some projective (resp. injective) $R$-module $P$ (resp. $I$). In [11], H. Holm and D. White showed that for an $R$-module $M$, there exists a projective resolution (resp. injective coresolution) by modules from $\mathcal{P}_C$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}_C$). Despite the fact that these (co)resolutions may not be exact, they still have good lifting properties. By using these (co)resolutions, R. Takahashi and D. White [16] defined two new homological dimensions, namely $C$-pd and $C$-id. Recently, B. Kubik [13], introduced the dual notion of semidualizing modules, namely quasidualizing modules. Over a local ring $(R,\mathfrak{m})$, an Artinian $R$-module $T$ is quasidualizing if the natural homothety map $\widehat{R} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(T,T)$ is an isomorphism and $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(T,T) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. In section 2, for an $R$-module $M$, we

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C05, 13H10, 13D07, 13D05.

*Key words and phrases.* Semidualizing modules, quasidualizing modules, dualizing modules, perfect modules, coperfect modules.

introduce two invariant for modules, namely $\mathcal{P}_C$-grade $_R(M)$ and $\mathcal{I}_C$-grade $_R(M)$ as generalization of the classical invariants grade $_R(M)$ and $E$-dim $_R(M)$, respectively. We study the basic properties of these new invariants and the relations of them with the relative homological dimensions $C$-pd $_R(M)$ and $C$-id $_R(M)$. For instance, the following result, proved in Theorem 3.11, is a generalization of [12, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem A.** *Let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module and let $I$ be an injective $R$-module for which* Hom $_R(M, I) \neq 0$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade }_R(M) \leq \mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade }_R(\text{Hom }_R(M, I)) \leq C\text{-pd }_R(M).$$

Next, we define the notions of $C$-perfect (resp. $n$-$C$-perfect) and $C$-coperfect (resp. $n$-$C$-coperfect) modules, and use them to impose some conditions on $C$ to be dualizing. The next result is Theorem 3.19.

**Theorem B.** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring with* dim $(R) = n$ *an let $C$ be a semidualizing $R$-module. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$C$ is dualizing.*
(ii) *$E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is $n$-$C$-perfect.*
(iii) *$\widehat{R}$ is $n$-$C$-coperfect.*

We, also, use $n$-perfect (resp. $n$-coperfect) modules to characterize local rings. We find some special $n$-perfect (resp. $n$-coperfect) modules whose existence imply the Regularity (resp. Gorensteinness) of the ring. For instance, the following result is Theorem 3.20.

**Theorem C.** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring with* dim $(R) = n$. *The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$R$ is Gorenstein.*
(ii) *any quasidualizing $R$-module is $n$-perfect.*
(iii) *there exists an $n$-perfect quasidualizing $R$-module with 1-dimensional socle.*

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some definitions and facts which are needed throughout this paper. By an injective cogenerator, we always mean an injective $R$-module $E$ for which Hom $_R(M, E) \neq 0$ whenever $M$ is a nonzero $R$-module. For an $R$-module $M$, the injective hull of $M$, is always denoted by $E(M)$.

**Definition 2.1.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a class of $R$-modules and $M$ an $R$-module. An $\mathcal{X}$-*resolution* of $M$ is a complex of $R$-modules in $\mathcal{X}$ of the form

$$X = \ldots \longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n^X} X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1^X} X_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

such that $\text{H}_0(X) \cong M$ and $\text{H}_n(X) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Also the $\mathcal{X}$-*projective dimension* of $M$ is the quantity

$$\mathcal{X}\text{-pd }_R(M) := \inf\{\sup\{n \geq 0 | X_n \neq 0\} \mid X \text{ is an } \mathcal{X}\text{-resolution of } M\}.$$

So that in particular $\mathcal{X}$-pd $_R(0) = -\infty$. The modules of $\mathcal{X}$-projective dimension zero are precisely the non-zero modules in $\mathcal{X}$. The terms of $\mathcal{X}$-*coresolution* and $\mathcal{X}$-id are defined dually.

**Definition 2.2.** A finitely generated $R$-module $C$ is *semidualizing* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) The natural homothety map $R \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is an isomorphism.
(ii) $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C, C) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

For example a finitely generated projective $R$-module of rank 1 is semidualizing. An $R$-module $D$ is *dualizing* if it is semidualizing and that $\operatorname{id}_R(D) < \infty$. Moreover, $D$ is *pointwise dualizing* if $D_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is dualizing $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$-module for any $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(R)$. For example the canonical module of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, if exists, is dualizing.

Assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is local. Following [13], an Artinian $R$-module $T$ is called *quasidualizing* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) The natural homothety map $\widehat{R} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(T, T)$ is an isomorphism.
(ii) $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(T, T) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

For example $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is a quasidualizing $R$-module.

**Definition 2.3.** Following [10], let $C$ be a semidualizing $R$-module. We set

$\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ = the subcategory of $R$–modules $C \otimes_R P$ where $P$ is a projective $R$-module.
$\mathcal{I}_C(R)$ = the subcategory of $R$–modules $\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I)$ where $I$ is an injective $R$-module.

The $R$-modules in $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{I}_C(R)$ are called *$C$-projective* and *$C$-injective*, respectively. If $C = R$, then it recovers the classes of projective and injective modules, respectively. We use the notations $C$-pd and $C$-id instead of $\mathcal{P}_C$-pd and $\mathcal{I}_C$-id, respectively.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let $C$ be a semidualizing $R$-module. Then we have the following:*

(i) $\operatorname{Supp}(C) = \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, $\dim(C) = \dim(R)$ *and* $\operatorname{Ass}(C) = \operatorname{Ass}(R)$.
(ii) *If $R \to S$ is a flat ring homomorphism, then $C \otimes_R S$ is a semidualizing $S$-module.*
(iii) *If $x \in R$ is $R$–regular, then $C/xC$ is a semidualizing $R/xR$-module.*
(iv) $\operatorname{depth}_R(C) = \operatorname{depth}(R)$.

*Proof.* The parts (i), (ii) and (iii) follow from the definition of semidualizing modules. For (iv), note that an element of $R$ is $R$-regular if and only if it is $C$-regular since $\operatorname{Ass}(C) = \operatorname{Ass}(R)$. Now an easy induction yields the equality. □

**Definition 2.5.** Let $C$ be a semidualizing $R$-module. The *Auslander class with respect to $C$* is the class $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$ of $R$-modules $M$ such that:

(i) $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(C, M) = 0 = \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C, C \otimes_R M)$ for all $i \geq 1$, and
(ii) The natural map $M \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R M)$ is an isomorphism.

The *Bass class with respect to $C$* is the class $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ of $R$-modules $M$ such that:

(i) $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C, M) = 0 = \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(C, \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M))$ for all $i \geq 1$, and
(ii) The natural map $C \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M)) \to M$ is an isomorphism.

The class $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$ contains all $R$-modules of finite projective dimension and those of finite $C$-injective dimension. Also the class $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ contains all $R$-modules of finite injective dimension and those of finite $C$-projective dimension (see [16, Corollary 2.9]). Also, if any two $R$-modules in a short exact sequence are in $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$), then so is the third (see [11, Corollary 6.3]).

**Definition 2.6.** Let $M$ be a non-zero $R$-module. Following [14], the *grade* of $M$, denoted by $\operatorname{grade}_R(M)$, is defined to be

$$\operatorname{grade}_R(M) = \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, R) \neq 0\}.$$

Also, $M$ is called a *perfect* $R$-module precisely when $\operatorname{grade}_R(M) = \operatorname{pd}_R(M)$. Following [12], the *E-dimension* of $M$, denoted by $E\text{-}\dim_R(M)$, is defined to be

$$E\text{-}\dim_R(M) = \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(E, M) \neq 0 \text{ for some injective } R\text{-module } E\ \}.$$

Also, $M$ is called a *coperfect* $R$-module precisely when $E\text{-}\dim_R(M) = \operatorname{id}_R(M)$.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let $C$ be a semidualizing $R$-module and let $M$ be an $R$-module.*

(i) $C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(M) = \operatorname{id}_R(C \otimes_R M)$ *and* $\operatorname{id}_R(M) = C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M))$.

(ii) $C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R(M) = \operatorname{pd}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M))$ *and* $\operatorname{pd}_R(M) = C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R(C \otimes_R M)$.

*Proof.* See [16, Theorem 2.11]. □

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let $C$ be a semidualizing $R$-module and let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module. Let $E$ be an injective cogenerator and $F$ be a faithfully flat $R$-module.*

(i) *One has* $C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R(M) = C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, E))$.

(ii) *One has* $C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(M) = C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(M \otimes_R F)$.

*Proof.* (i). We have the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, E)) &= \operatorname{id}_R(C \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, E)) \\ &= \operatorname{id}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M), E)) \\ &= \operatorname{fd}_R((\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M)) \\ &= \operatorname{pd}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M)) \\ &= C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R(M), \end{aligned}$$

in which the first equality is from Theorem 2.7(i), the second equality is from [5, Theorem 3.2.11], the fourth equality holds since $\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M)$ is finitely generated, and the last one is from Theorem 2.7(ii).

(ii). One has

$$\begin{aligned} C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(M) &= \operatorname{id}_R(C \otimes_R M) \\ &= \operatorname{id}_R\big((C \otimes_R M) \otimes_R F\big) \\ &= \operatorname{id}_R\big(C \otimes_R (M \otimes_R F)\big) \\ &= C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(M \otimes_R F), \end{aligned}$$

in which the first and the last equalities are from Theorem 2.7(i). □

## 3. main results

Throughout this section, $C$ is a semidualizing $R$-module. In the rest of the paper, we use the notations $\mathcal{P}\text{-}\operatorname{grade}_R(M)$ and $\mathcal{I}\text{-}\operatorname{grade}_R(M)$ instead of $\operatorname{grade}_R(M)$ and $E\text{-}\dim_R(M)$, respectively.

**Definition 3.1.** Let $M$ be an $R$-module. The *$\mathcal{P}_C$-grade* of $M$, denoted by $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-}\operatorname{grade}_R(M)$, is defined to be

$$\mathcal{P}_C\text{-}\operatorname{grade}_R(M) = \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C \otimes_R P) \neq 0 \text{ for some projective } P\}.$$

Also, the $\mathcal{I}_C$-*grade* of $M$, denoted by $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(M)$, is defined to be

$$\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E), M) \neq 0 \text{ for some injective } E\}.$$

**Remark 3.2.** One should note that if $C = R$, then the above definition recovers the notions of [14] and [12], respectively. It is clear that $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) \leq \operatorname{pd}_R(M)$ and $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) \leq \operatorname{id}_R(M)$. Also, note that if $M$ is finitely generated, then

$$\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C) \neq 0\}.$$

For if $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = n$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(M, C \otimes_R P) \neq 0$ for some projective $R$-module $P$, then $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(M, C) \otimes_R P \cong \operatorname{Ext}^n_R(M, C \otimes_R P) \neq 0$ by [5, Theorem 3.2.15], and so $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(M, C) \neq 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) &= \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C) \neq 0\} \\
&= \inf\{\operatorname{depth}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(C_\mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}_R(M)\} \\
&= \inf\{\operatorname{depth}(R_\mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}_R(M)\} \\
&= \mathcal{P}\text{-grade}_R(M).
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume that $M$ is an $R$-module. The following statements hold true.*

(i) *One has $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) \leq C\text{-pd}_R(M)$.*
(ii) *One has $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) \leq C\text{-id}_R(M)$.*

*Proof.* (i). We may assume that $C\text{-pd}_R(M) = n < \infty$, since otherwise we have nothing to prove. In this case, we have $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$. By Theorem 2.7(ii), we have $\operatorname{pd}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M)) = n$. For any projective $R$-module $P$, we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C \otimes_R P) &\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M), C \otimes_R P) \\
&\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{I}_C}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M), P) \\
&\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M), P),
\end{aligned}$$

in which the first isomorphism holds since $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, the second isomorphism is from [16, Theorem 4.1], and the third isomorphism is from [16, Corollary 4.2(b)]. Hence $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, \mathcal{P}_C) = 0$ for all $i > n$, whence $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) \leq n$.

(ii). Is similar to the part (i). □

**Definition 3.4.** Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. An $R$-module $M$ is said to be $C$-*perfect* (resp. $n$-$C$-*perfect*) if $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = C\text{-pd}_R(M)$ (resp. $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = C\text{-pd}_R(M) = n$). Also, $M$ is said to be $C$-*coperfect* (resp. $n$-$C$-*coperfect*) if $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = C\text{-id}_R(M)$ (resp. $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = C\text{-id}_R(M) = n$).

Note that if $C = R$, then the above definition recovers the notions of [14] and [12], respectively.

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let $M$ be an $R$-module.*

(i) *If $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, then $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = \mathcal{P}\text{-grade}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M))$.*
(ii) *If $M \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, then $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = \mathcal{I}\text{-grade}_R(C \otimes_R M)$.*

*Proof.* (i). For any projective $R$-module $P$, we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C\otimes_R P) &\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C\otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(C,M), C\otimes_R P)\\ &\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{I}_C}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,M), P)\\ &\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,M), P),\end{aligned}$$

in which the first isomorphism holds since $M\in\mathcal{B}_C(R)$, the second isomorphism is from [16, Theorem 4.1], and the third isomorphism is from [16, Corollary 4.2(b)]. Hence the result follows.

(ii). Is similar to the part (i). □

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let $M$ be an $R$-module with $C$-$\operatorname{id}_R(M)<\infty$ and let $n$ be a non-negative integer. The following are equivalent:*

(i) $C$-$\operatorname{id}_R(M)\leq n$.

(ii) $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\mathcal{I}_C, M)=0$ *for all* $i>n$.

*Proof.* (i)$\Longrightarrow$(ii). By Theorem 2.7(i), we have $\operatorname{id}_R(C\otimes_R M)\leq n$. Also, by [16, Theorem 2.8(b)], $C\otimes_R M\in\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ since $M\in\mathcal{A}_C(R)$. Now, for any injective $R$-module $I$, we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,I), M) &\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,I), \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, C\otimes_R M)\\ &\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{P}_C}(I, C\otimes_R M)\\ &\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(I, C\otimes_R M),\end{aligned}$$

in which the first isomorphism holds because $M\in\mathcal{A}_C(R)$, the second isomorphism is from [16, Theorem 4.1], and the last one is from [16, Corollary 4.2(a)] Now, the result follows.

(ii)$\Longrightarrow$(i). Assume, on the contrary, that $C$-$\operatorname{id}_R(M)=t>n$. By [16, Corollary 2.4(b)], there is an exact sequence

$$0\to M\to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C,I^0)\to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C,I^1)\to\cdots\to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C,I^t)\to 0,$$

in which $I^i$ is an injective $R$-module. Let $K^i$ be the image of the $i$-th boundary map of the above coresolution. Note that $\operatorname{Ext}^j_R(\mathcal{I}_C,\mathcal{I}_C)=0$ for all $j\geq 1$, by [5, Theorem 3.2.1] since $\operatorname{Tor}^R_j(C,\mathcal{I}_C)=0$ for all $j\geq 1$. Therefore a dimension shifting argument yields

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1_R(\mathcal{I}_C, K^{t-1})\cong \operatorname{Ext}^t_R(\mathcal{I}_C, M)=0.$$

Thus, in particular, the exact sequence $0\to K^{t-1}\to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C,I^{t-1})\to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C,I^t)\to 0$ splits. Consequently, $K^{t-1}$ is $C$-injective and then $C$-$\operatorname{id}_R(M)=t-1$, a contradiction. □

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let $M$ be an $R$-module with $C$-$\operatorname{pd}_R(M)<\infty$ and let $n$ be a non-negative integer. The following are equivalent:*

(i) $C$-$\operatorname{pd}_R(M)\leq n$

(ii) $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\mathcal{P}_C)=0$ *for all* $i>n$.

*Proof.* Is dual to the proof of Lemma 3.6. □

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let $M$ be an $R$-module and let $n$ be a non-negative integer. The following statements hold true.*

(i) *Assume that $C$-$\operatorname{pd}_R(M)<\infty$. Then $M$ is $n$-$C$-perfect if and only if $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\mathcal{P}_C)=0$ for all $i\neq n$ and that $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(M, C\otimes_R P)\neq 0$ for some projective $R$-module $P$.*

(ii) *Assume that* $C\text{-id}_R(M) < \infty$. *Then* $M$ *is* $n$-$C$-*coperfect if and only if* $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\mathcal{I}_C, M) = 0$ *for all* $i \neq n$ *and that* $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I), M) \neq 0$ *for some injective* $R$-*module* $I$.

*Proof.* (i). Assume that $M$ is $n$-$C$-perfect. Then we have $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = C\text{-pd}\,(M) = n$, by definition. Thus $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, \mathcal{P}_C) = 0$ for all $i < n$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.7, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, \mathcal{P}_C) = 0$ for all $i > n$. The remaining part follows from definition. For the converse, note that by assumption, we have $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = n$. Now, since $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, in view of Lemma 3.3(i) and Lemma 3.7, we have

$$n = \mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) \leq C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq n,$$

whence $M$ is $n$-$C$-perfect.

(ii). Is dual of part (i). □

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let* $M$ *and* $N$ *be* $R$-*modules. The following statements hold true.*

(i) *Assume that* $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = n$ *and* $C\text{-id}_R(N) = m < n$. *Then* $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(N, M) = 0$ *for all* $i < n - m$.

(ii) *Assume that* $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(N) = n$ *and* $C\text{-pd}_R(M) = m < n$. *Then* $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(N, M) = 0$ *for all* $i < n - m$.

*Proof.* (i). Induct on $C\text{-id}_R(N)$. The case $C\text{-id}_R(N) = 0$ is just definition. Assume, inductively, that $C\text{-id}_R(N) = m$. Then, by [16, Corollary 2.4(b)], there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to N \to L \to K \to 0,$$

such that $L \in \mathcal{I}_C$ and $K = \operatorname{Coker}(N \to L)$. since both $N$ and $L$ are in $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$, we have $K \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, and therefore $\operatorname{Tor}^R_1(C, K) = 0$. On the other hand $C \otimes_R L \in \mathcal{I}$, by [5, Theorem 3.2.11]. Hence application of $C \otimes_R -$ on above exact sequence yields an exact sequence

$$0 \to C \otimes_R N \to C \otimes_R L \to C \otimes_R K \to 0.$$

By Theorem 2.7(i), we have $\operatorname{id}_R(C \otimes_R N) = m$. Therefore $\operatorname{id}_R(C \otimes_R K) = m - 1$, whence $C\text{-id}_R(K) = m-1$. Now, induction hypothesis applied to $L$ and $K$ yields $\operatorname{Ext}^{i<n}_R(L, M) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^{i<m-(n-1)}_R(K, M) = 0$, respectively. Therefore, the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(L, M) \to \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(N, M) \to \operatorname{Ext}^{i+1}_R(K, M) \to \cdots$$

completes the inductive step.

(ii). Is similar to (i). □

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let* $\mathfrak{a}$ *and* $\mathfrak{b}$ *be two ideals of* $R$. *The following statements hold true.*

(i) *If* $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}) > C\text{-id}_R(R/\mathfrak{b})$, *then* $\mathfrak{b} \colon \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$.

(ii) *If* $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}) > C\text{-pd}_R(R/\mathfrak{b})$, *then* $\mathfrak{b} \colon \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$.

(iii) *One has* $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq C\text{-id}_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$, *for all* $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{ass}_R(\mathfrak{a})$.

(iv) *One has* $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}) \leq C\text{-pd}_R(R/\mathfrak{p})$, *for all* $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{ass}_R(\mathfrak{a})$.

*Proof.* For (i) and (iii) use Theorem 3.9(i), and for (ii) and (iv) use Theorem 3.9(ii). □

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let* $M$ *be a finitely generated* $R$-*module and let* $I$ *be an injective* $R$-*module for which* $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, I) \neq 0$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) \leq \mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, I)) \leq C\text{-pd}_R(M).$$

*Proof.* By Remark 3.2, we have $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,C) \neq 0\}$. Now, if $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,C) = 0$, then for any injective $R$-module $E$, we have the isomorphism $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,E),M) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,C),E) = 0$, by [5, Theorem 3.2.13]. Hence, we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,E),\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,I)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,E),M),I) = 0.$$

Therefore the inequality $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) \leq \mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,I))$ follows. Next, if $C\text{-pd}_R(M) = \infty$, then we are done. So, we can assume that $C\text{-pd}_R(M) < \infty$. Thus $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, and then $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,I) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$ by [11, Proposition 7.2(b)]. Therefore, we have the following (in)equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,I)) &\leq C\text{-id}\,(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,I)) \\
&= \operatorname{id}_R(C \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,I)) \\
&= \operatorname{id}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,M),I)) \\
&\leq \operatorname{fd}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,M)) \\
&= \operatorname{pd}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,M)), \\
&= C\text{-pd}\,(M),
\end{aligned}$$

in which the first inequality is from Lemma 3.3(ii), the second equality is from [5, Theorem 3.2.11], the third equality holds because $\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,M)$ is finitely generated, and the other equalities are from Theorem 2.7. This completes the proof. □

**Corollary 3.12.** *Let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module and let $E$ be an injective cogenerator. Then*

$$\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M) = \mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,E)).$$

*Proof.* in view of Theorem 3.11, we need only to prove the inequality '$\geq$'. Assume that $i$ is a non-negative integer for which $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,I),\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,E)) = 0$ for any injective $R$-module $I$. Thus, in particular, $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,E),\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,E)) = 0$. Set $(-)^\vee = \operatorname{Hom}_R(-,E)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,E),\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,E)) &= \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C^\vee,M^\vee) \\
&\cong \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(C^\vee,M)^\vee \\
&\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,C)^{\vee\vee},
\end{aligned}$$

in which the first isomorphism is from [5, Theorem 3.2.1], and the second isomorphism is from [5, Theorem 3.2.13]. Now, since $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,C) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,C)^{\vee\vee}$, the result follows. □

**Corollary 3.13.** *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be local with $\dim(R) = n$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$R$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*
(ii) *One has $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = n$.*
(iii) *One has $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = n$.*

*Proof.* (i)$\Longrightarrow$(ii). In this case, by Proposition 2.4(iv), we have $\operatorname{depth}_R(C) = n$. Hence, according to Remark 3.2, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/\mathfrak{m},C) \neq 0\} = n.$$

(ii)$\Longrightarrow$(iii). Use Corollary 3.12, the isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$, and the fact that $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is an injective cogenerator.

(iii)$\Longrightarrow$(i). Set $(-)^\vee = \operatorname{Hom}_R(-, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$. By assumption, $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C^\vee, R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i < n$. There are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C^\vee, R/\mathfrak{m}) &\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C^\vee, (R/\mathfrak{m})^\vee)\\ &\cong \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(C^\vee, R/\mathfrak{m})^\vee\\ &\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, C)^{\vee\vee},\end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism is from [5, Theorem 3.2.1], and the third isomorphism is from [5, Theorem 3.2.13]. Hence $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, C) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i < n$, from which we conclude that

$$n = \mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) \leq \operatorname{depth}_R(C) = \operatorname{depth}(R) \leq n.$$

Therefore $R$ is Cohen-Macaulay. □

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let $E$ be an injective cogenerator. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$C$ is pointwise dualizing.*

(ii) *One has $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C)) \geq i$ for all finitely generated $R$-modules $M$ and all $i \geq 0$.*

(iii) *One has $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(\operatorname{Tor}^i_R(M, \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E))) \geq i$ for all finitely generated $R$-modules $M$ and all $i \geq 0$.*

*Proof.* (i)$\Longrightarrow$(ii). In this case, $R$ is Cohen-Macaulay. For any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ with $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) < i$, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C)_\mathfrak{p} \cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(M_\mathfrak{p}, C_\mathfrak{p}) = 0$, since $\operatorname{id}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(C_\mathfrak{p}) = \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. Hence, if we set $\mathfrak{a} = \operatorname{Ann}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C))$, then we have

$$\operatorname{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, C) = \operatorname{ht}_C(\mathfrak{a}) = \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{a}) \geq i.$$

Now, since $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C)$ is finitely generated, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C)) &= \inf\{j \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^j_R(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C), C) \neq 0\}\\ &= \inf\{j \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^j_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, C) \neq 0\}\\ &\geq i.\end{aligned}$$

(ii)$\Longleftrightarrow$(iii). By using Corollary 3.12 and the isomorphism [5, Theorem 3.2.13], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C)) &= \mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R\big(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C), E\big)\\ &= \mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R\big(\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(M, \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E)\big).\end{aligned}$$

(ii)$\Longrightarrow$(i). Assume that $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of $R$. For any finitely generated $R$-module $M$ and any $i \neq 0$, we set $\mathfrak{a}^i_M = \operatorname{Ann}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C))$. Note that $\operatorname{grade}_{R_\mathfrak{m}}(\mathfrak{a}^i_M R_\mathfrak{m}, C_\mathfrak{m}) < \infty$ since $R_\mathfrak{m}$ is Noetherian. On the other hand, by assumption, we have

$$\inf\{j \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^j_R(R/\mathfrak{a}^i_M, C) \neq 0\} \geq i,$$

for any finitely generated $R$-module $M$ and for any $i \geq 0$. Hence we have

$$\inf\{j \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^j_{R_\mathfrak{m}}(R_\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{a}^i_M R_\mathfrak{m}, C_\mathfrak{m}) \neq 0\} \geq i,$$

for any finitely generated $R$-module $M$ and for any $i \geq 0$. It follows that $\mathfrak{a}^i_M R_\mathfrak{m} = R_\mathfrak{m}$ for all $i > \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$, whence $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{R_\mathfrak{m}}(M_\mathfrak{m}, C_\mathfrak{m}) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, C)_\mathfrak{m} = 0$ for all $i > \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$. Therefore, since $M$ was arbitrary, we get $\operatorname{id}_{R_\mathfrak{m}}(C_\mathfrak{m}) < \infty$, as wanted. □

Assume that $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is local. Recall that the *depth* of a (not necessarily finitely generated) $R$-module $M$ is

$$\operatorname{depth}_R(M) = \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \neq 0\}.$$

Also the *width* of $M$ is defined to be

$$\operatorname{width}_R(M) = \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \neq 0\}.$$

**Theorem 3.15.** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be local and $M$ be a non-zero $R$-module.*

(i) *One has* $\operatorname{depth}(R) \leq \operatorname{depth}_R(M) + C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R(M)$.

(ii) *One has* $\operatorname{depth}(R) \leq \operatorname{width}_R(M) + C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(M)$.

*Proof.* (i). We can assume that $C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R(M) < \infty$, since otherwise we have nothing to prove. We have $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-}\operatorname{grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = \mathcal{P}\text{-}\operatorname{grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = \operatorname{depth}(R)$ by Remark 3.2. Hence we have $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) = 0$ for all $i < \operatorname{depth}(R) - C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R(M)$ by Theorem 3.9(ii). It follows that $\operatorname{depth}(R) \leq \operatorname{depth}_R(M) + C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R(M)$.

(ii). We can assume that $C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(M) < \infty$, since otherwise we have nothing to prove. We have $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-}\operatorname{grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = \mathcal{P}_C\text{-}\operatorname{grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = \operatorname{depth}(R)$ by Corollary 3.12. Hence we have $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ for all $i < \operatorname{depth}(R) - C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(M)$ by Theorem 3.9(i). But there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, R/\mathfrak{m}) &\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, E(R/\mathfrak{m})))\\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(M, R/\mathfrak{m}), E(R/\mathfrak{m})),\end{aligned}$$

in which the second equality is from [5, Theorem 3.2.1]. Hence $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(M, R/\mathfrak{m}) = 0$ for all $i < \operatorname{depth}(R) - C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(M)$, whence $\operatorname{depth}(R) \leq \operatorname{width}_R(M) + C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(M)$. □

**Lemma 3.16.** *Let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module and let $I$ be an injective $R$-module for which* $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, I) \neq 0$.

(i) *If $M$ is $n$-$C$-perfect, then* $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, I)$ *is $n$-$C$-coperfect.*

(ii) *If $I$ is an injective cogenerator, then the converse of* (i) *holds true.*

*Proof.* (i). Assume that $M$ is $n$-$C$-perfect. Then $C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R(M) = n$, and hence $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$. Therefore, by [11, Proposition 7.2(b)], we have $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, I) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. Hence we have the (in)equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_C\text{-}\operatorname{grade}_R(M) &\leq \mathcal{I}_C\text{-}\operatorname{grade}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, I))\\ &\leq C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, I))\\ &= \operatorname{id}_R(C \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, I))\\ &= \operatorname{id}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M), I))\\ &\leq \operatorname{fd}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M))\\ &= \operatorname{pd}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M))\\ &= C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}(M),\end{aligned}$$

in which the first inequality is from Theorem 3.11, the second inequality is from Lemma 3.3(ii), and the first and the last equalities are from Theorem 2.7. Hence $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, I)$ is $n$-$C$-coperfect.

(ii). Use Lemma 2.8(i) and Corollary 3.12. □

**Corollary 3.17.** *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be local with $\dim(R)=n$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$R$ is regular.*
(ii) *$R/\mathfrak{m}$ is $n$-$C$-perfect.*
(iii) *$R/\mathfrak{m}$ is $n$-$C$-coperfect.*

*Proof.* (i)$\Longrightarrow$(ii). In this case, by [3, Corollary 8.6], we have $C=R$, and then

$$\mathcal{P}\text{-grade}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})=\inf\{i\geq 0\mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/\mathfrak{m},R)\neq 0\}=n=\operatorname{pd}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}).$$

(ii)$\Longrightarrow$(iii). Use Lemma 3.16, the isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m},E(R/\mathfrak{m}))\cong R/\mathfrak{m}$, and the fact that $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is an injective cogenerator.

(iii)$\Longrightarrow$(i). Note that $C\otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}$ is a finite dimensional vector space over $R/\mathfrak{m}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.7(i) and the assumption, we have

$$\operatorname{id}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})=\operatorname{id}_R(C\otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m})=C\text{-}\operatorname{id}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})=n.$$

Hence $R$ is regular by [1, Exercise 3.1.26]. □

**Theorem 3.18.** *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module with $C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R(M)<\infty$ and let $n=\operatorname{depth}(R)-\operatorname{depth}(M)$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$M$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*
(ii) *$M$ is $n$-$C$-perfect.*
(iii) *$\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ is $n$-$C$-coperfect.*

*Proof.* (i)$\Longrightarrow$(ii). Since $C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R(M)<\infty$, we have $M\in\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ and hence $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(C,M)=0$ for all $i\geq 0$. Thus $\operatorname{depth}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,M))=\operatorname{depth}_R(M)$ by [4, Corollary 5.2.7]. Therefore we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} C\text{-}\operatorname{pd}_R(M) &= \operatorname{pd}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,M)\\ &= \operatorname{depth}(R)-\operatorname{depth}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C,M))\\ &= \operatorname{depth}(R)-\operatorname{depth}_R(M)\\ &= n,\end{aligned}$$

in which the first equality is from Theorem 2.7(ii), and the second equality is Auslander-Buchsbaum formula. Hence, in view of Lemma 3.7, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\mathcal{P}_C)=0$ for all $i>n$. Next, since $R$ is Cohen-Macaulay, there are equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\inf\{i\geq 0\mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,C)\neq 0\} &= \inf\{i\geq 0\mid \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(R/\operatorname{Ann}_R(M),C)\neq 0\}\\ &= \operatorname{grade}(\operatorname{Ann}_R(M),C)\\ &= \operatorname{grade}(\operatorname{Ann}_R(M),R)\\ &= \dim(R)-\dim_R(M)\\ &= n.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $M$ is finitely generated, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,\mathcal{P}_C)=0$ for all $i<n$ by [5, Theorem 3.2.15]. Hence $M$ is $n$-$C$-perfect by Proposition 3.8(i).

(ii)$\Longrightarrow$(iii). Use Lemma 3.16 and the fact that $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is an injective cogenerator.

(iii)$\Longrightarrow$(i). We have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}\dim{}_R(M) &= \dim(R) - \mathcal{P}\text{-grade}_R(M)\\ &= \dim(R) - \mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(M)\\ &= \dim(R) - \mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R\big(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))\big)\\ &= \dim(R) - n\\ &= \operatorname{depth}(M),\end{aligned}$$

in which the first equality holds since $R$ is Cohen-Macaulay, the second equality holds by Remark 3.3, the third equality holds by Corollary 3.12, and the fourth equality holds by assumption. Hence, $M$ is Cohen-Macaulay. □

**Theorem 3.19.** *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be local with $\dim(R) = n$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$C$ is dualizing.*
(ii) *$E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is $n$-$C$-perfect.*
(iii) *$\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ is $n$-perfect.*
(iv) *$\widehat{R}$ is $n$-$C$-coperfect.*
(v) *$\widehat{C}$ is $n$-coperfect.*

*Proof.* (i)$\Longrightarrow$(ii). First, note that $\operatorname{fd}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))) = n$. Hence by [7, Corollary 3.4], we have $\operatorname{pd}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))) \leq n$, from which we conclude that $C\text{-pd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m})) = \operatorname{pd}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))) = n$ by Theorem 2.7(ii). Next, note that the minimal injective resolution of $C$ is of the form

$$0 \to C \to \bigoplus_{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=0} E(R/\mathfrak{p}) \to \bigoplus_{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} E(R/\mathfrak{p}) \to \cdots \to E(R/\mathfrak{m}) \to 0. \ (\dagger)$$

Let $P$ be a projective $R$-module. Applying the exact functor $-\otimes_R P$ on the (†), we get the following exact complex

$$0 \to C\otimes_R P \to \bigoplus_{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=0} E(R/\mathfrak{p})\otimes_R P \to \bigoplus_{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} E(R/\mathfrak{p})\otimes_R P \to \cdots \to E(R/\mathfrak{m})\otimes_R P \to 0.$$

which is an injective resolution for $C\otimes_R P$. By [5, Theorem 3.3.12], the injective $R$-module $E(R/\mathfrak{p})\otimes_R P$ is a direct sum of copies of $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ for each $\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Spec}(R)$. Therefore, since $\operatorname{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), E(R/\mathfrak{p})) = 0$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}\neq\mathfrak{m}$, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), \mathcal{P}_C) = 0$ for all $i\neq n$. But, if $P = R$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), C) &= \operatorname{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), E(R/\mathfrak{m}))\\ &\cong \widehat{R}\\ &\neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is $n$-$C$-perfect by proposition 3.8(i), as wanted.

(ii)$\Longleftrightarrow$(iii). Note that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. Now, $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is $n$-$C$-perfect if and only if $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-grade}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m})) = C\text{-pd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m})) = n$, and this is the case if and only if $\mathcal{P}\text{-grade}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))) = \operatorname{pd}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))) = n$ by Theorem 2.7(ii) and Lemma 3.3(i).

(i)$\Longrightarrow$(iv). First, note that there are equalities

$$n = \operatorname{id}_R(C) = C\text{-id}_R(R) = C\text{-id}_R(\widehat{R})$$

in which the second equality is from Theorem 2.7(i) and the last one is from Lemma 2.8(ii).

Hence, we have $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\mathcal{I}_C, \widehat{R}) = 0$ for all $i > n$ by Lemma 3.6. Next, we show that $n$ is the least integer for which $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(\mathcal{I}_C, \widehat{R}) \neq 0$. To do this, first we show that if $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\mathcal{I}_C, \widehat{R}) \neq 0$ for some integer $i$, then $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m})), \widehat{R}) \neq 0$. Assume that $I$ is an injective $R$-module for which $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I), \widehat{R}) \neq 0$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $\mathfrak{m} \notin \operatorname{Ass}_R(I)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $I = E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ with $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$. We have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I), \widehat{R}) &\cong \operatorname{Ext}^i_R\big(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I), \operatorname{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), E(R/\mathfrak{m}))\big)\\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}^i_R\big(\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I), E(R/\mathfrak{m})), E(R/\mathfrak{m})\big),\end{aligned}$$

in which the second isomorphism is from [5, Theorem 3.2.1]. Hence $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I), \widehat{R}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I), E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \neq 0$. Choose an element $x \in \mathfrak{m} \smallsetminus \mathfrak{p}$. Then multiplication of $x$ induces an isomorphism on $I$ and hence on $\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I)$. It follows that the homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I), E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \xrightarrow{x\cdot} \operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I), E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$$

is both an isomorphism and locally nilpotent, whence $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, I), E(R/\mathfrak{m})) = 0$, a contradiction. Consequently, we need only to show that $n$ is the least integer for which $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m})), \widehat{R}) \neq 0$ or equivalently $\operatorname{Tor}^R_n(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m})), E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \neq 0$. Applying the exact functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ on (†) above, we get a flat resolution

$$0 \to F_n \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \to 0,$$

in which $F_i = \operatorname{Hom}_R\Big(\bigoplus_{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=i} E(R/\mathfrak{p}), E(R/\mathfrak{m})\Big)$. Now, by applying $- \otimes_R E(R/\mathfrak{m})$, on this flat resolution, we can compute $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m})), E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$. By the above argument, $\operatorname{Tor}^R_i(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m})), E(R/\mathfrak{m})) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i < n$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tor}^R_n(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m})), E(R/\mathfrak{m})) &= F_n \otimes_R E(R/\mathfrak{m})\\ &= \operatorname{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \otimes_R E(R/\mathfrak{m})\\ &= \widehat{R} \otimes_R E(R/\mathfrak{m})\\ &\cong E(R/\mathfrak{m})\\ &\neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(\mathcal{I}_C, \widehat{R}) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m})), \widehat{R}) \neq 0$. Consequently, $\widehat{R}$ is $n$-$C$-coperfect by Proposition 3.8(ii).

(iv)$\Longleftrightarrow$(v). Note that $\widehat{R} \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, and so $\widehat{C} \cong C \otimes_R \widehat{R} \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ by [16, Theorem 2.8(b)]. Now, $\widehat{R}$ is $n$-$C$-coperfect if and only if $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-grade}_R(\widehat{R}) = C\text{-id}_R(\widehat{R}) = n$, and this is the case if and only if $\mathcal{I}\text{-grade}_R(\widehat{C}) = \operatorname{id}_R(\widehat{C}) = n$ by Theorem 2.7(i) and Lemma 3.3(ii).

(iv)$\Longrightarrow$(i). One has

$$\operatorname{id}_R(C) = C\text{-id}_R(R) = C\text{-id}_R(\widehat{R}) = n$$

where the first equality is from Theorem 2.7(i), the second equality is from Lemma 2.8(ii), and the last equality is the assumption. Hence $C$ is dualizing. □

Recall that for an $R$-module $M$, the $i$-th local cohomology module of $M$ with respect to an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $R$, denoted by $\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$, is defined to be

$$\mathrm{H}^i_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = \varinjlim_{n\geq 1} \mathrm{Ext}^{\,i}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}^n, M).$$

**Theorem 3.20.** *Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be local with $\dim(R) = n$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$R$ is Gorenstein.*

(ii) *any quasidualizing $R$-module is $n$-perfect.*

(iii) *there exists an $n$-perfect quasidualizing $R$-module with 1-dimensional socle.*

*Proof.* (i)$\Longrightarrow$(ii). Assume that $T$ is a quasidualizing $R$-module. By [13, Proposition 2.1], $T$ is a quasidualizing $\widehat{R}$-module. Also, since $\widehat{R}$ is a complete, by [13, Theorem 3.1], there exists a semidualizing $\widehat{R}$-module $K$ for which $K \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{R}}(T, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$. But, as $\widehat{R}$ is Gorenstein, we have $K \cong \widehat{R}$ by [3, Corollary 8.6]. Therefore we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} T &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{R}}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{R}}(T, E(R/\mathfrak{m})), E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{R}}(\widehat{R}, E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\ &\cong E(R/\mathfrak{m}), \end{aligned}$$

in which the first isomorphism holds because $T$ is Matlis reflexive over $\widehat{R}$. Now, if $P$ is a projective $R$-module, then it is free and hence by using the minimal injective resolution of $R$, we have $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, P) = 0$ for all $i \neq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. Therefore $\mathrm{Ext}^{\,i}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), P) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$, and that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{\,n}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), P) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{\mu^n(\mathfrak{m},P)}) \neq 0.$$

Thus, since $\mathrm{pd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m})) = n$, the $R$-module $T = E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is $n$-perfect by Proposition 3.8(i).

(ii)$\Longrightarrow$(iii). The desired module is $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$.

(iii)$\Longrightarrow$(i). Assume that $T$ is an $n$-perfect quasidualizing $R$-module with 1-dimensional socle. Observe that, by [13, Proposition 2.1], $T$ is a quasidualizing $\widehat{R}$-module. Also, we have $\mathrm{pd}_{\widehat{R}}(T) = n$ since $T \otimes_R \widehat{R} \cong T$. Next, a similar argument as in [5, Lemma 9.1.4] yields the isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{\,i}_R(T, R) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{\,i}_{\widehat{R}}(T, \widehat{R}),$$

for all $i \geq 0$. Hence, if $\mathrm{Ext}^{\,i}_R(T, F) = 0$ for any free $R$-module $F$, then $\mathrm{Ext}^{\,i}_{\widehat{R}}(T, X) = 0$ for any free $\widehat{R}$-module $X$. Finally, if $\mathrm{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{Soc}_R(T)) = 1$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, T) \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$ and therefore $\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{R}}(R/\mathfrak{m}, T) \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$, whence $\mathrm{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{Soc}_{\widehat{R}}(T)) = 1$. Consequently, $T$ is an $n$-perfect $\widehat{R}$-module with 1-dimensional socle. On the other hand, $R$ is Gorenstein if and only if $\widehat{R}$ is so. Hence, we can replace $R$ by $\widehat{R}$ and assume that $R$ is complete. In the new case, by [13, Theorem 3.1], there exists a semidualizing $R$-module $C$ for which $C \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(T, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$. Thus we have $\mathrm{id}_R(C) \leq \mathrm{pd}_R(T) = n$, whence $C$ is dualizing, and hence the (in)equalities

$$\dim(R) = \dim_R(C) \leq \mathrm{id}_R(C) = \mathrm{depth}(R),$$

show that $R$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Now, we can use local duality [2, Theorem 11.2.8] to get the isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \cong T,$$

from which we conclude that $\operatorname{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(\mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)) = 1$. Consider the following Grothendieck's third quadrant spectral sequence [15, theorem 10.47]

$$\mathrm{E}_2^{p,q} = \operatorname{Ext}^p_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{H}^q_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)) \underset{p}{\Longrightarrow} \operatorname{Ext}^{p+q}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, R).$$

Since $R$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have $\mathrm{H}^q_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = 0$ for all $q \neq n$ by [2, Corollary 6.2.9], and hence $\mathrm{E}_2^{p,q}$ collapses on the $n$-th column, whence

$$\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, R) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \mathrm{H}^n_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)) \cong R/\mathfrak{m}.$$

It follows, from [5, Theorem 9.2.27], that $R$ is Gorenstein. □

By using the same argument as in the proof of the above theorem, we have the following corollary which is a characterization of Cohen-Macaulay local rings.

**Corollary 3.21.** *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local ring with $\dim(R) = n$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$R$ is Cohen-Macaulay.*
(ii) *there exists an $n$-perfect quasidualizing $R$-module.*

*Proof.* (i)$\Longrightarrow$(ii). By assumption, $R$ has a dualizing module, say $D$. Now, by Theorem 3.19 and [13, theorem 3.1], $\operatorname{Hom}_R(D, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ is an $n$-perfect quasidualizing $R$-module.

(ii)$\Longrightarrow$(i). Suppose that $T$ is an $n$-perfect quasidualizing $R$-module. Then by [13, theorem 3.1], there exists a semidualizing module $C$ for which $T = \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$. Now, we have $\operatorname{id}_R(C) \leq \operatorname{pd}(T) = n$, whence $C$ is dualizing and $R$ is Cohen-Macaulay. □

**Question 3.22.** Can we omit the condition '1-dimensional socle' in the Theorem 3.20? More precisely, if there exists an $n$-perfect quasidualizing $R$-module, then is $R$ Gorenstein?

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are grateful to the referee for his/her invaluable comments.

## References

1. W. Bruns and J. Herzog , *Cohen-Macaulay rings,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
2. M.P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp , *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
3. L.W. Christensen, *Semi-dualizing complexes and their Auslander categories,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (2001), 1839–1883.
4. L.W. Christensen and H.-B. Foxby, *Hyperhomological Algebra with Applications to Commutative Rings,* Available from http://www.math.ttu.edu/∼lchriste/download/918-final.pdf.
5. E. Enochs and O. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 30, 2000.
6. H.-B. Foxby, *Gorenstein modules and related modules*, Math. Scand. **31** (1973), 267–284.
7. H.-B. Foxby, *Isomorphisms between complexes with applications to the homological theory of modules*, Math. Scand. **40** (1977), 5–19.
8. E. S. Golod, *G-dimension and generalized perfect ideals*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. Algebraic geometry and its applications **165** (1984), 62–66.
9. R. Hartshorne, *Local cohomology*, A seminar given by A. Grothendieck, Harvard University, Fall, vol. 1961, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
10. H. Holm, P. Jørgensen, *Semi-dualizing modules and related Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **205**(2006) 423–445.

11. H. Holm, D. White, *Foxby equivalence over associative rings,* J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **47** no.4, (2007), 781–808.
12. O.M. Jenda *The dual of the grade of a module,* Arch. Math. **51** (1988), 297–302.
13. B. Kubik, *Quasidualizing modules*, J. Commut. Algebra. **6** (2014), 209–229.
14. D. Rees, *The grade of an ideal or module,* Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **53** (1957) 28–42.
15. J.J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra,* Second ed., Springer, New York, 2009..
16. R. Takahashi and D.White, *Homological aspects of semidualizing modules*, Math. Scand. **106** (2010) 5–10.
17. W. V. Vasconcelos, *Divisor theory in module categories,* North-Holland Math. Stud. 14, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam (1974).

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences and Computer, Kharazmi University, Tehran, Iran.
*E-mail address*: m.rahmani.math@gmail.com
*E-mail address*: taheri@khu.ac.ir